# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,831	12/13/2005	Francois Robert	0526-1101	8965
466 759 YOUNG & THON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23RI	O STREET		KING, ANITA M	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
indivoron, vi			3632	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/534,831	ROBERT, FRANCOIS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anita M. King	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 December 2005</u> .					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•			
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/13/05</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal F				

Art Unit: 3632

This is the first office action for application number 10/534,831, Anchoring Device for Fixing Elements of a Particular Type on a Flat Surface, filed on December 13, 2005.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/534,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 3632

(I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 1, "Device" should be changed to --An anchoring device--, in line 4, it is suggested to change the recitation of "is present in the form of" to --comprises--, and in line 5, it is suggested to change "of these" to --of said two--; in claim 7, line 4, it is suggested that --which is-- should be inserted after wall; and in claims 2-20, the recitation of "Anchoring Device" in line 1 of the claims should be changed to --The anchoring device--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 3632

Regarding claim 4, it is not understood as to what previously cited claimed terminology the term "it" in line 3 is referring to.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the portion" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the projecting porting" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the screw-threaded female socket" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 12, it is not understood as to what previously cited claimed terminology the term "it" in line 2 is referring to.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "said intermediate plate" bridging lines 4 and 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 has ambiguous claim terminology where it is unclear whether latter recitations of originally cited terminology are intended to refer to the originally cited terms. It is unclear if as to which of the two plates cited in claim 1, line 5 the recitation of "the other surface of said plate" bridging lines 5 and 6 of the claim is intended to refer to. Applicant is suggested to differentiate the "two plates" by including the claimed language of --first and second plates-- in line 5 of claim 1, this would aid in alleviating ambiguity. Also see claim 13 for the same indefiniteness.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said intermediate plate" bridging lines 4 and 5.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the portion" in line2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the projecting portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "the projecting portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the projecting portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the projecting portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3632

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 1,783,873 to Duncan, Jr.
- U.S. Patent 2,559,064 to Cunningham
- U.S. Patent 3,328,229 to Windecker
- U.S. Patent 3,833,241 to Acosta
- U.S. Patent 4,297,963 to Beacon
- U.S. Patent 4,630,982 to Fenner
- U.S. Patent 5,775,664 to Martin
- U.S. Patent 6,241,440 to Orlebeke

Duncan, Jr. discloses a furniture caster having sleeve body surrounding a strand of fibrous material. Cunningham discloses a fitting for a flexible container having a plurality of plates. Windecker discloses a method and apparatus for attaching a load bearing member to a low strength body. Acosta discloses a stowable tie down attachment. Beacon discloses a device for securing a boat to a dock. Fenner discloses a cargo tie-down system. Martin discloses an anchor fastening device. Orlebeke discloses a tethering device for anchoring a tether having a cup rotatably held within a cylinder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-6817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,831 Page 8

Art Unit: 3632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anita M. King Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

March 28, 2007